

SIRE 2.0 Summary & Updates from OCIMF



Why SIRE 2.0 was needed

- Focus more on significant risks
- Address technological and regulatory changes
- Focus on the way a vessel is managed –
 i.e. Hardware Process Human
- Link SIRE with TMSA objectives
- Reinforce consideration of human factors
- Enhance governance controls





OCIMF Strategic Goal & Objectives

SIRE 2.0 declared goal:

To set up an enhanced and risk-based vessel inspection Programme that will provide more accurate information and enable better judgements on the quality and likely future performance of a vessel

Cyprus | Germany | Singapore | China | Italy | Greece | Norway | Saudi Arabia | Monaco www.columbia-shipmanagement.com Cyprus | Germany | Singapore | China | Italy | Greece | Norway | Saudi Arabia | Monaco

Cyprus | Germ'any | Singapore | China | Italy | Greece | Norway | Saudi Arabia | Monac in @ww.foluypia-shipmanagement.com

in 🖸 f 🎔

Question set development and review process



2017

Cyprus | Germany | Singapore | China | Italy | Greece | Norway | Saudi Arabia | Monaco www.columbia-shipmanagement.com

in 🖸 f 🎔

2022

Bow Tie Methodology used to assign Risk Factors



Bridging the gap between VIQ 7 and SIRE 2.0

Question Style – Binary Response. Number of question. Many N/A questions. Paper based inspection. Limited Inspector guidance. Question sets fixed and not rotated.

VIQ 7

RISK based Approach



Enhanced Question Style

Unique inspection templates.

CVIQ Compiled Vessel Inspection Questionnaire.

Comprehensive Inspector guidance

Observation reporting tool – graduated responses.

Photographic content to support observations.

Risk-based Vessel Questionnaire

- Generated using bow-tie methodology of risk assessment
- 4 types of questions incorporated in the questionnaire:
 - **CORE** minimum questions required to meet the members' fundamental risk assessment criteria
 - ROTATIONAL non-core questions that are included in the questionnaire over a defined period
 - **CONDITIONAL** specific questions that are included based on data available for the vessel, operator or ship-type
 - **CAMPAIGN** cover areas of specific focus identified by OCIMF or its membership and is included in all questionnaires over a limited time period

Question – Maintain the health of **PRIORITY** Barriers to identify risk and critical activities

CAMPAIGN questions permits OCIMF to respond to emerging industry trends and issues by modifying the way the question set is managed and questions are allocated to individual inspections.

CAMPAIGN questions will be treated as CORE questions for the duration of the campaign period





CORE questions will be allocated to every inspection – applicable to vessel type and its operation.

Rotational 1 Questions allocated approximately every third or fourth inspection.

Rotational 2 Questions allocated approximately every sixth inspection.

CONDITIONAL These questions have been developed to assess a vessel operator's level of attainment against TMSA. These are known as conditional questions since they are allocated based on information provided by the vessel operator through the Pre-Inspection Questionnaire (PIQ).

How the questions are structured

The scope of the inspection:

- Condition of hardware
- Adequacy of the procedures / processes
- Conditions that supports people

 Performance Influencing
 Factors (PIF)

Four categories of findings:

- "Exceeds expectation"
- "As expected"
- "Largely as expected"
- "Not as expected"

7.2.1

Were the Master and officers familiar with the company procedures for hardening the vessel when entering areas of increased security risk, and was there a Vessel Hardening Plan (VHP) available?

Hardware	NOT ANSWERABLE						
YES		Θ	NO				
Comments							
Process			NOT /	ANSWERABLE			
PROCEDURE AND/OR CHECKLIST SIGHTED		PROCEDURE AND/OR CHECKLIST SIGHTED		 PROCEDURE MISSING, INADEQUATE OR INACCURATE 			
Comments							
Process			NOT ANSWERABLE				
EXCEEDED NORMAL EXPECTATION	AS EXPECTED	LARGELY AS EXPECTED		O NOT AS EXPECTED			
Comments							

The overview of the inspection process

©					
Inspection request/inspector validation	Vessel pre-inspection data input	Risk-based inspection questionnaire automatically created	Inspector preparation for inspection	Inspection execution	Inspection report
Inspection request/ validation tool with inbuilt rules and criteria to identify suitable inspectors for an inspection.	 Vessel particulars Crew details Certificates Pre-inspection questionnaire Past inspection observations (core questions only) Incident data Standard Photoset 	Risk-based VIQ created, using bow tie methodology Questions set automatically compiled to form a Compiled Vessel Inspection Questionnaire (CVIQ).	Inspector reviews and analyses data provided on the vessel in advance of the inspection.	Observation reporting tool with: • Grades of response (in addition to Yes/No). • Responses against Equipment, Procedures and Human Based Tasks. Multi-media content – photographic evidence to support negative observations where applicable.	A report that accurately describes how key safety and operational risks are managed and verified onboard a vessel Quality verified by OCIMF using targeted approach.

11.1.2 11.1.3 11.1.6 11.1.5 11.1.8 11.1.9

PIQ Additional Information

11.1.10

Inspection Photos

11.1.1

11.1.4

11.1.7

×

PIQ - 7.2.1001 Does the vessel's usual trading area include entering or transit through areas of increased security risk?

11.1.11

11.1.12

PIQ - 7.2.1002 Does the vessel always carry sufficient material to fully implement its Vessel Hardening Plan?

SIRE 2.0

Data Provided by Vessel Operator



- Vessel Operators are requested to upload a series of standard Vessel photographs to support the Inspection process
- The photographs are presented to the Inspector who is asked to describe their validity
- The Pre-Inspection Questionnaire feeds into the compiler providing a set of questions for the Inspection specific to the Vessel and cargo
- Vessel Certificates
- HVPQ
- PIQ Questions
 - \blacktriangleright Selected questions are prepopulated with information extracted from the HVPQ and PIQ



183

SIRE 2.0

Negative Observations

- A SIRE 2.0 negative observation is a combination of a subject (the element of hardware or process which caused the observation) and a nature of concern
- The "subject" is specific to the category, either a hierarchical list of onboard hardware or, in the case of processes, all applicable on-board TMSA **KPIs**
- This allows the Inspector to capture and codify the findings directly into the inspection report while on-board
- In addition, text comments can also be provided
- This mode of Inspection data capture provides powerful, real time data analysis opportunities. Pre-codified/analysed negative observations captured across the Inspection, Vessel and fleet, are instantly available and comparable as further Inspector responses are captured

Cyprus | Germany | Singapore | China | Italy | Greece | Norway | Saudi Arabia | Monaco www.columbia-shipmanagement.com

Process Observation \times

Were the Master and officers familiar with the onboard emergency response plans and, were records available to demonstrate that all mandatory and company defined emergency delts had been completed and documented as required by company procedures?

> 5.4.4 - Refresher bridge resource g management simulator training	= 6A - Mooring and Anchoring Operations 6A.1.1 - Procedures for mooring and anchoring		
 6 - Cargo, ballast, tank cleaning and bunkering operations 	operations		
STATUTE CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPT	Nature of Concern		
Gerations	O No procedure		
6A.1.1 Procedures for mooring and anchoring operations.	O Procedure not present/available/ accessible		
6A.1.1.1.1 - The procedures	O Too many/conflicting procedures		
include roles and responsibilities	O Procedure clarity and understandability		
GA.1.1.1.2 - The procedures include requirements for risk assessments	O Procedure accuracy/correctness		
	Procedure realism/feasibility/suitability		
66.1.1.1.3 The procedures include mooring arrangements and layout	O Procedure completeness/validity/version		
SA1.1.1.4 - The procedures include anchoring methods	O Communication of procedure/practice updates		
6A.1.1.1.5 - The procedures include use of main engine (and theusters if fitted)	Comments		
6A.1.1.2 - Guidance ensures protection of personnel and sale operation	comments		
66.1.2 - mooring and anchoring equipment included in PMS			
> GA.L.3 - Condition of mooring propers, wires, tails and shackles			
> GA.1.4 - Procedures that address § the use of togs			
> 6A.2.1 - Detailed procedures that address different types of mooring oneration			

Observation Declaration example

2. Certification and Documentation

4. Defect Management

1. Were the senior officers familiar with the company procedure for reporting defects to vessel structure, machinery and equipment to shore-based management through the company defect reporting system and was evidence available to demonstrate that all defects had been reported accordingly?

Hardware Observable or detectable deficiency

Environmental Protection Equipment: Maintenance task available – not completed Emergency shut off boxes on port side accommodation required several attempts and two crew to open. Catches found in poor condition.

3. Crew Management

2. Crew Evaluation

5. Was a report available onboard which confirmed that a comprehensive cargo audit by a suitably qualified and experienced company representative had been completed as declared through the pre-inspection questionnaire?

Process Not as expected – procedure and/or document deficient

12 – Inspections: Procedure completeness/validity/version There were no details of the auditors experience and qualifications provided within audit documentation.

5. Crew Familiarisation

1. Had the company developed an effective familiarisation programme that covered the personal safety and professional responsibilities of all onboard personnel, including visitors and contractors, and were records available to demonstrate that the familiarisation had been completed as required?

Human Senior Deck Officer - Not as expected

As part of maintaining safety awareness, monthly inspections conducted. Subject space seen to be storage place for various items. In use paint left above tank top port side E/R/ No MSDS. Paint subsequently removed.

9. Opportunity to learn or practice

SIRE 2.0 implementation phases – latest from OCIMF

- Phase 1: SIRE 2.0 Internal Testing
 - OCIMF Secretariat & Submitting Companies and vessel operators involved in initial trials
 - Phase 2: Beta test of full end-to-end process
 - Optional participation for invited parties
 - Phase 3: Unlimited beta test of full end-to-end process
 - Optional participation for all programme participants
 - Phase 4: SIRE (VIQ7) withdrawn
 - All programme participants

A Summary

- > SIRE 2.0 will significantly enhance inspection regime
- It will no longer focus on a snapshot of vessel's condition at the time of inspection
- SIRE 2.0 will take human element into consideration and the inspection will involve interviews of selected officers and ratings and identification of Performance Influencing Factors (PIF)
- One VIQ question under SIRE 2.0 may generate up to 3 observations
- Training of crew and their familiarity with their duties, industry practice and company management system requirements is crucial for successful vetting performance under SIRE 2.0 regime
- Preparation for the upload of documents and completion of preinspection questionnaire requires significant efforts from shore based team (estimated 3 hrs per single inspection arrangement)





THANK YOU!

Source: OCIMF